ST.GALLEN SYMPOSIUM

Title – Safeguarding Sovereignty in Transportation Infrastructure: A Strategic Approach for Emerging Economies

Essay

The growth of local industries with the abundant availability of natural resources in Asia, Africa and Latin America, the power shift in the world seems to be shifting towards developing nations. While it has unlocked a plethora of opportunities for these emerging economies, it has simultaneously posed grave risks. One of the most critical opportunities and dangers is in the aspect of infrastructure development especially in transportation sector, The transport sector is key to economic development and geopolitical power, highways, railways, seaports and airports are important aspects of international trade intercourses and regional integration. However, for a lot of emerging economies, these developments have come at a very high cost. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) of China alone has spent in excess of 1 trillion on other infrastructure development in around 150 countries on loans. These have brought rapid development but also put the nations such as Sri-lanka, Pakistan and Zambia in a precarious debt situation. For instance, Sri Lanka in 2017 signed a contract for a 99-year lease for its strategic Hambantota Port to China as it had a debt of $1.1 billion it could not pay back. This raised much debate about debt-trap diplomacy.

Brief History of Transportation in Emerging economy nations

Transportation infrastructure historically has been a tool for economic integration and geopolitical movement. The colonial era, brought about transportation such as railways and ports which were built primarily to extract resources and move raw materials out of the indigenous nation , [1]serving the interests of colonial powers rather than local populations. Such projects usually inculcates high level of dependency and inequality, hence creating developmental challenges in the indigenous nation while fostering growth to the visiting nations. The postcolonial period, brought about newly independent nations giving great priority to transportation infrastructure in other to stimulate trade, foster economic independence, and integrate fragmented territories creating accessibility to rural areas. [2]Ambitious projects like the Trans-African Highway network aimed to connect regions, but financial, technical and policy limitations hampered progress. [3]Recently, initiatives such as China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) have increase global competition in infrastructure development. The BRI, spreading across Asia, Africa, and Europe, has greatly improve economic progress in many regions but has also brought about a new vulnerabilities. Which is reliance on foreign funding aids, expertise and skilled labor, These has left some nations struggling with huge debt, weak policy and reduced autonomy. [4]This historical trend underscores, hence the importance of crafting strategies that balance economic ambition with sovereign resilience.

Challenges with transportation infrastructure in developing countries

The Emerging economies are currently facing several interrelated challenges in managing strategic transportation infrastructure projects, these challenges are synonymous to the development structure of the emerging economies this in a great way has lead to partial development in developing nations leaving some geographical sections in a nation lacking amenities and access point to urban areas.

1. Debt Reliance:

[5]Transportation projects often require large capital investment, which emerging economies usually secure through external loans from already develop nations. [6]This reliance on foreign financing can lead to unsustainable debt levels. For instance, Sri Lanka's Hambantota Port serves as a cautionary example to developing economies seeking for huge loans, Sri Lanka ceded control of the port to a foreign entity, because they were not able to repay the loan hence compromising its strategic autonomy over their indigenous asset. [7]Such memorandum of understanding clearly outline the risks of over-reliance on external debt.

2. Geopolitical Border trade consideration factor:

[8]Corridors of transportation especially those trade routes that are strategically positioned linking internationally trade, these trade zone has become global power rivalries battleground, decisions by emerging economy nations to align with major world powers such as united states, china or the European union can potentially cause relationship strain with others hence limiting policy flexibility, [9]this dynamic international relation put emerging economies in tight diplomatic position, hence the mixed of infrastructural decision with wider geopolitical consideration

3. Internal Imbalance:

[10]With the rise in economic activities the need for transportation for accessibility, movement of people, goods and services, most emerging economy makes the mistakes of undermining rural areas in connecting transportation network to their corridors this neglects of rural network bring about uneven development distribution, [11]this in a way foster internal unrest amongst regions, also this can lead to additional operational cost for industries to transport raw materials from rural areas to existing industries in the urban region. Bypassing rural networks can lead to slow the development process of a nation.

4. Social cost and Environmental Impact:

Construction of transportation project usually leads to displacement of existing structures on ground, this may include displacement of communities, ecosystem disruption[12], this if not properly planned and manage can lead to great environmental challenges, leaving the existing communities in worst state also generating resistance to future development initiatives.

Proposed Reforms

To address these challenges the sovereign resilience compact network is proposed for implementation, the framework is specifically derived to focus on transportation infrastructure. The core objectives is to design of a multilateral framework that is tailored towards bringing a sustainable development[13], the sustainable resilience compact is design toI function through five pillars.

Debt Sustainability Framework:

Transparent Financing: The agreements regarding funding of transportation projects are in the public domain which creates transparency, accountability and proper decision making[14]. Financial details like interest rates, payment timelines and requirements for construction are disclosed as well as social and environmental impacts which creates confidence among the people. [15]The safeguards that independent audits provide ensure debt sustainability by ascertaining the capacity to repay debts and that debts are consistent with the fiscal policies. In addition, independent audits enable stress testing so that economic uncertainties can be managed. [16]Local analysts also amplify credibility of audits, when they can be trained well alongside adopting sound audit methodologies and international benchmarks. All these would mitigate financial risks, encourage people to invest, and in turn propel development of infrastructure considering economic feasibility. Progressively pursuing transparency and accountability, governments make certain that economically viable projects are executed so that economic stability and equitable growth are achieved over time without putting pressure on future generations. [17]As the last resort, establish international mechanisms to change the terms of debt in case of financial difficulties. These systems can avoid defaults, save state-owned property and keep economic stability.

2. National Ownership Safeguards:

It is critical to safeguard national ownership, particularly where the requirement for transportation projects to have a national component is needed in order to retain control of the national resources.[18.] This increases local labor, skills and materials' inputs into the projects, creating more jobs and nurturing talent. Stipulating a certain percentage of the contracts to be signed with local companies helps to promote the economy and local businesses[19]. Measures should be enacted to prevent foreign entities from taking ownership of transport hubs of strategic nature, like airports or ports, in the time of crisis in order to better protect interests of the state. Owning many of the important types of infrastructure strengthens a nation against excessive foreign interference and helps it control very important resources. [20]Putting such requirements in the documentation of projects allows countries to facilitate economic development while safeguarding economic independence and security.

3. Geopolitical Neutrality in Multilateral Financing:

Collaborating with regional development banks, multilateral organizations, and private investors strengthens the financial power of the country and discourages political interference by reducing dependency on one country. [21]With broadening of the scope of funding there are means to distort the financial principles of equity and cost effectiveness, hence the ability to raise and spend on transportation projects will be well balanced and well settled, [22]To prevent disputes from endangering the confidence placed by international observers into the two countries in question, disinterested, impartial parties should be created to resolve issues between sovereign states and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) seekers. [23]In such circumstances requirements for effective engagement in projects would be readily met, and therefore various points of potential conflict would be eliminated, and business could focus on satisfaction of excessive requirements and long term relationships. Such mechanisms strengthen investor confidence while also safeguarding national interest. By broadening partnerships and developing mechanisms for equitable resolution of conflicts, countries can obtain sources of financing for long-term economic development without infringing on competitive and symmetrical relations in the world economy.

4. Inclusive Development Planning:

The essence of engaging local groups in deciding when and where a transportation project must be located and built increases harmony and lessens conflicts. [24]It promotes transparency through public consultation when it comes to people fears and concerns. [25]These aspects ensure effective transportation projects while promoting the inclusion principle in decision making processes, Transportation networks should be designed to bridge the gap between lagging regions and the center of the economy to promote equitable development of the regions. Such projects promote fair development, diminishing regional inequalities, and offering equal chances to disadvantaged groups through integrating fringe areas into the core market, Involving communities and selecting priorities as far as connection is needed ensures that the infrastructure investments are fully realized and their effects are felt all over and are more long term. This frame of reference does not only helps in enhancing the benefits of transportation projects but also enhances social cohesion and commitment to policy issues that are likely to have an effect in the future.

5. Capacity Building Initiatives:

It is crucial to develop local skills in the management and operation of transport systems in order to limit the dependence on foreign operators and to guarantee the sustainability of transport infrastructure in the long run. Initiatives and programs that involve knowledge transfer, training, and capacity building enable local people to take charge of the management, maintenance[26], as well as the invention of new products and services in the area. [27]This way, the people become economically independent and more self-sufficient. Assisting governments in building suitable legislative context enhances monitoring of implementation of transportation initiatives. [28]Good management means that the investments made in infrastructure are in line with the overall investment objectives of the country, are realistic from an

environmental and social perspective and deliver real benefits to the envisaged local communities. Stronger rules also promote better governance, responsibility, and trust of the investors. [29]By developing expertise and governance in transportation, countries can create a holistic and independent transportation system which would facilitate national development, serve national goals and enhance fair and green economic growth, [30]The effectiveness of the Sovereign Resilience Compact (SRC) is achieved through cooperation of nation states, regional development banks, international organizations, private sector, and the society. In this regard, states have the responsibility of protecting sovereignty and sustainability by putting legal frameworks, modifying policies where necessary, and creating transparency.

Conclusion

The development of trade routes, strengthening of trade and the extraction of economic growth enables the transportation structures of developing economies to make great strides. But the risks that undermine the benefits of these projects such as the dependence on debt, the entanglements in international politics, and the loss of power have been issues. The Sovereign Resilience Compact (SRC) has a strategy to provide relief to these problems. It focuses upon transparency, country ownership and participation in formulation of policies to avoid disconnect between the development of infrastructures with the objectives of the country, Emerging economies can thus implement the SRC framework to develop transport infrastructures as a vehicle for sustainable development without compromising on growth. Strategic Partnerships with international partners, as well as active reforms and capacity development enable countries to address external pressure. In this way they are able to take advantage of opportunities provided by multipolarity without the attendant risks of over dependency or loss of control, In the end, transportation systems built with the guidance of the SRC allowing greater inter-regional connectivity will enable countries to train and develop interdependent systems that are more complex in nature allowing for security from external or internal disruption.

Word Count (essay text only): (1972/2100)

Reference List / Bibliography / Sources:

1. Cain, L. P. (1997). Historical perspective on infrastructure and U.S. economic development. *Regional Science and Urban Economics, 27*(2), 117–138.
2. Lele, V. P., & Shah, D. J. (2023). Optimizing transportation and logistics networks for seamless resource flow: People, materials, and beyond. *DHL Supply Chain*.
3. Population Division, UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2018). *World urbanization prospects 2018*. United Nations Interactive Data. Retrieved from https://population.un.org/wup/DataQuery/
4. Population Division, UN Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (2018). 68% of the world population projected to live in urban areas by 2050, says UN. *UN Press Release*. Retrieved from https://population.un.org/wup/Publications/Files/WUP2018-PressRelease.pdf
5. Florida, R. (2018, December 6). Just how much of the world is urban? *CityLab*. Retrieved from https://www.citylab.com/life/2018/12/global-urbanization-un-majority-citymeasure/577090/
6. Capuano, L. (2018, July 24). International energy outlook 2018 (IEO2018). *U.S. Energy Information Administration*. Retrieved from https://www.eia.gov/pressroom/presentations/capuano_07242018.pdf
7. U.S. Census Bureau. (2017). *2017 national population projections tables*. Retrieved from https://www.census.gov/data/tables/2017/demo/popproj/2017-summary-tables.html
8. Federal Highway Administration. (2016). State motor-vehicle registrations – 2016. *Highway Statistics 2016*. Retrieved from https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policyinformation/statistics/2016/
9. World Business Council for Sustainable Development. (2009). *Mobility for development*. Geneva: WBCSD. Retrieved from https://docs.wbcsd.org/2009/03/MobilityForDevelopment_Summary.pdf
10. Mayer, M., & Kremer, J.-F. (2011, March 16–19). Global power shift I: Theoretical perspectives and approaches. Panel proposal for the Annual Convention of the International Studies Association (ISA). Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/1760555/_Global_Power_Shift_Theoretical_Perspectives_and_Approaches
11. Fisher Onar, N. (n.d.). Once and future kings? Historical legacies and rising powers. *Department of Politics and International Relations, Bahçeşehir University*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/
12. Krahl, D. (n.d.). Constructing a new regional power? The case of China in the Middle East. *China Foreign Affairs University*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/
13. Godara, R., & Beniwal, A. (n.d.). Transportation networks and economic growth: A spatial perspective. *Government P.G. College, Panchkula, and Government College, Adampur*.
14. Giunta, M. (2020). Assessment of the environmental impact of road construction: Modelling and prediction of fine particulate matter emissions. *Building and Environment, 176*, 106865. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.106865
15. Zhang, W., & Wang, N. (2016). Resilience-based risk mitigation for road networks. *Structural Safety, 62*, 57–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.strusafe.2016.06.003
16. Taverdyan, R. (n.d.). Enhancing transparency and accountability in public finances. *Caracal*.
17. Strategic audit of infrastructure projects and programs. (2019). *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies, 3*(12), 57–65. https://doi.org/10.15587/2312-8372.2019.165767

18. Trappett, L. (2023, August 1). 5 stakeholder engagement essentials for transport projects.
19. Banister, D., & Berechman, Y. (2001). Transport investment and the promotion of economic growth. *Journal of Transport Geography, 9*(3), 209–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S09666923(01)00013-8
20. Givoni, M. (2006). Development and impact of the modern high-speed train: A review. *Transport Reviews, 26*(5), 593–611. https://doi.org/10.1080/01441640600589319
21. Rodrigue, J.-P., Comtois, C., & Slack, B. (2020). *The geography of transport systems* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
22. Baldwin, R. E. (2016). *The great convergence: Information technology and the new globalization*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
23. Foster, V., & Briceño-Garmendia, C. (2010). *Africa's infrastructure: A time for transformation*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
24. Flyvbjerg, B., Skamris Holm, M. K., & Buhl, S. L. (2003). How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews, 23*(1), 71–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/01441640309904
25. World Economic Forum. (2018). *The global competitiveness report 2018*. Geneva: World Economic Forum. Retrieved from https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-globalcompetitiveness-report-2018
26. Coates, T., & Leck, H. (2016). Participatory climate risk assessments: A focus on informal settlements. *Climate and Development, 8*(4), 366–375. https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2015.1040360
27. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD). (2019). *Review of maritime transport 2019*. Geneva: UNCTAD. Retrieved from https://unctad.org/webflyer/review-maritime-transport-2019
28. Infrastructure Consortium for Africa (ICA). (2020). *Infrastructure financing trends in Africa 2019*. Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire: African Development Bank. Retrieved from https://www.icafrica.org
29. Dodgson, J. S., Spackman, M., Pearman, A., & Phillips, L. D. (2009). Multi-criteria analysis: A manual. *Department for Communities and Local Government*. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/multi-criteria-analysis-manual
30. Button, K., & Hensher, D. A. (2001). Infrastructure investment, transport, and economic performance: International perspectives. *Transport Reviews, 21*(2), 153–162. https://doi.org/10.1080/01441640010022339
31. Perkins, P., Fedderke, J., & Luiz, J. (2005). An analysis of economic infrastructure investment in South Africa. *South African Journal of Economics, 73*(2), 211–228. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1813-6982.2005.00014.x
32. Schipper, L., Saenger, C., & Sudhir, A. (2009). Transport and carbon dioxide emissions: Forecasts, options, and opportunities for reducing emissions. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 34*(1), 295–332. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.environ.032108.105057
33. International Transport Forum (ITF). (2019). *ITF transport outlook 2019*. Paris: OECD Publishing. Retrieved from https://www.itf-oecd.org/transport-outlook-2019